

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 729 of 2000

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.R.CALLA

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgement?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

JAY AMBE CONSTRUCTION CO CIVILENGINEER & CONTRACTOR

Versus

MEHSANA NAGAR PALIKA

Appearance:

MR RAVI KARNAVAT for MS MAYA N BHAVNANI for Petitioner
MR BM MANGUKIYA for Respondents Nos.1 to 3
No one appears on behalf of respondent no.4.
MR AJ DESAI, ld.AGP for respondent No. 5

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.R.CALLA

Date of decision: 01/05/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

It is no more in dispute that after the negotiations, the petitioner has not remained the first lowest for the execution of the works under advertisement

dated 24th November 1999 which had been issued by the Mehsana Nagar Palika. The petitioner's say is that, initially, he was the first lowest and later on, when the negotiations took place, on the basis of the fresh offers from amongst six bidders who had applied in response to the advertisement dated 24th November 1999, the offer of respondent no.4 was the lowest. The petitioner then said that he will be ready to work on any condition even below the lowest offer. Such offer is absolutely vague and I do not find that the respondents have committed any procedural irregularity in acting upon the offer made by respondent no.4 whose offer was found to be the lowest subsequently after negotiations. In this view of the matter, I do not find any substance in this petition. The same is hereby dismissed. Rule is hereby discharged. The interim order stands vacated. No order as to costs.

(M.R. Calla, J.)

Sreeram.